

Application No. 10/675,663
Amendment dated 2/6/06
Reply to Office Action of 11/7/05

Remarks

This Amendment is in response to the Final Office Action dated November 7, 2005 and is due on or before February 7, 2006.

Claims 2, 4, 6-8 and 10-13 were noted as allowed and Claim 3 noted as allowable. Applicant has included the limitations of Claim 1 into Claim 3. As of the last (Final) Office Action, Claims 1, 5 and 9 were rejected.

The dependency of Claim 9 has been changed from Claim 1 to allowable Claim 3. Additionally, while Claim 5 was rejected as being anticipated by Falk, USP 2,200,714, the rejection did not mention Claim 5 or refer to any structural limitations of Claim 5. Applicant is assuming the designation of Claim 5, which depends from allowable Claim 3, was incorrect.

In view of the aforementioned, it is respectfully urged that the present application be reconsidered, the claims allowed, and the case passed to issue.

Respectfully submitted,



Markell Seltzman
Reg. No. 28,756

(586) 726-3905
(586) 726-4172 (fax)